



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Am

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,066	05/31/2001	Jyotimoy Paul	50277-1557	2304

29989 7590 05/17/2005

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP
2055 GATEWAY PLACE
SUITE 550
SAN JOSE, CA 95110

EXAMINER

SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2154

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/872,066

Applicant(s)

PAUL ET AL.

Examiner

Mohammad A. Siddiqi

Art Unit

2154

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 March 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 56-63 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-55 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 56-63 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/22/2004.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. New claims 56-63 are being examined. Claims 1-55 have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. Claims 56-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kanevsky et al. (6,300,947) (hereinafter Kanevsky).

4. As per claim 56, Kanevsky discloses a machine-implemented method for communicating with a mobile device, comprising the steps of:

receiving, at a mobile applications server (107, fig 1, webpage adapter server), registration data from an application (data received from the website, 106, fig 1), wherein the registration data specifies rules about how

mobile devices are allowed to interact with the application (col 6, lines 20-27, registration is a process of registering several characteristics or parameters);

the mobile application server operating as an intermediary for interactions between the mobile device and the application (web adapter server, 107, fig 1); and

while operating as an intermediary (web adapter server, 107, fig 1), the mobile application server enforcing the rules about how mobile devices are allowed to interact with the application (web adapter server satisfies the user's requirement as specified initially in the display mode request message, 107, fig 1, col 7, lines 25-33) wherein the application is relieved of the responsibility of enforcing the rules about how mobile devices are allowed to interact with the application (web adapter server satisfies the user's requirement as specified initially in the display mode request message, 107, fig 1, col 7, lines 25-33).

5. As per claim 57, Kanevsky discloses storing, at the mobile applications server, device data that describes the characteristics of the mobile device (col 6, lines 53—58, table may be created to store display data).

6. As per claim 58, Kanevsky discloses transforming, based on the device data, response data received from the application to create transformed response data, wherein the transformed response data is in a format readable by the mobile device (web adapter server satisfies the user's requirement as specified initially in the display mode request message, 107, fig 1, col 7, lines 25-38); and

transmitting the transformed response data to the mobile device (web adapter server satisfies the user's requirement as specified initially in the display mode request message, 107, fig 1, col 7, lines 25-38).

7. As per claim 59, Kanevsky discloses determining a portion of the response data that is capable of being simultaneously displayed on the mobile device based, at least in part, on the device data (web adapter server satisfies the user's requirement as specified initially in the display mode request message, 107, fig 1, col 7, lines 25-38);

transforming the portion into a transformed portion, wherein the transformed portion is in a format readable by the mobile device (web adapter server satisfies the user's requirement as specified initially in the display mode request message, 107, fig 1, col 7, lines 25-38 and lines); and

transmitting the transformed portion to the mobile device without transmitting any remaining portion of the response data (web adapter

server satisfies the user's requirement as specified initially in the display mode request message, 107, fig 1, col 7, lines 25-38, stripping objects from web pages during the transformation).

8. As per claim 60, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 56, above.

9. As per claim 61, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 57, above.

10. As per claim 62, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 58, above.

11. As per claim 63, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 59, above.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 56-63 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad A. Siddiqi whose telephone number is (571) 272-3976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-

3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAS

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "N. E. Hadley". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long, sweeping vertical line extending downwards from the end of the name.